arXiv:1207.5621v1 [math.AG] 24 Jul 2012

On Varieties Swept Out by Large Dimensional Quadrics

Qifeng Li

ABSTRACT. We prove that hyperquadrics are the only n-dimensional nondegenerate smooth complete intersections swept out by $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ dimensional quadrics. Furthermore, we show that the bound on m is optimal.

1 Introduction

We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Varieties in this paper are assumed to be irreducible, unless otherwise stated. In [Ei], Ein proved that if X is an n-dimensional smooth projective variety containing an $m \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ dimensional linear subspace Π whose normal bundle is trivial, then X is an $\mathbb{P}^m$-bundle with Π being a fiber. The bound on m was improved to $m \geq \frac{n}{2}$ by Wiśniewski in [Wi]. Later on, Sato [Sa] studied n-dimensional smooth projective varieties swept out by m-dimensional linear subspaces, i.e. through every point of X, there passes an m-dimensional linear subspace. If $m \geq \frac{n}{2}$, he proved that either X is a projective bundle as above or $m = \frac{n}{2}$. In the latter case, X is either a smooth hyperquadric or the Grassmannian variety parameterizing lines in $\mathbb{P}^{m+1}$.

Kachi and Sato studied the cases where linear subspaces are replaced by quadrics in [KS]. By a quadric $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$, we mean that Q is a hyperquadric in its linear span $\langle Q \rangle$ in $\mathbb{P}^N$. More precisely, Kachi and Sato studied varieties swept out by large dimensional quadrics passing through a fixed point (SQF) as follows:

> $X \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is an n-dimensional smooth nondegenerate projective variety. There is a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ such that for a general point $x \in X$, there exists an m-dimensional quadric Q_x satisfying $x_0, x \in Q_x \subseteq X$. SQF$_m-$type

In [KS], Kachi and Sato showed in their main theorem 0.2 that if X is a variety of SQF$_m-$type with $m \geq \frac{3n}{5} + 1, n = 5, 6, 10$, or $m \geq \frac{3n}{5}, n \geq 4, n \neq 5, 6, 10$, and each Q_x is smooth, then X is a hyperquadric. Later on, Fu showed that

Theorem 1.1 ([Fu], Thm. 2, Prop. 3) *Let X be a variety of SQF$_m-$type.*

(*i*) *If $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$, then $N = n + 1$ and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a hyperquadric;*

(*ii*) *If $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$, $n \geq 3$ and $N \geq \frac{3n}{2}$, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is projectively isomorphic to one of the following:*

(a) *the Segre 3-fold* $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$*;*
(b) *the Plücker embedding* $\mathbb{G}(1,4) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$*;*
(c) *the 10-dimensional spinor variety* $S^{10} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{15}$*;*
(d) *a general hyperplane section of* (b) *or* (c).

A natural question is to classify varieties of SQF_m-type with $N < \frac{3n}{2}$ and $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$. A conjecture of Hartshorne introduced in [Ht1] states that if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $n > \frac{2N}{3}$, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a complete intersection. Hence, it is meaningful to classify varieties of SQF_m-type which is also a complete intersection. Our result is a slightly more general. We study varieties swept out by large dimensional quadrics (SQ) as follows:

> $X \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is an n-dimensional smooth nondegenerate projective variety such that for a general point $x \in X$, there is an m-dimensional quadric Q_x satisfying $x \in Q_x \subseteq X$. SQ_m-type

In [BI], Beltrametti and Ionescu studied varieties of SQ_m-type with large m. They proved that if X is a variety of SQ_m-type with $n \geq 3, m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$, then there is a morphism $\pi : X \to Z$, which is the contraction of an extremal ray, such that π is either a scroll or a hyperquadric fibration and $dim(Z) \leq n - m$. Our result is also a complement of theirs.

Theorem 1.2 *Let X be a variety of SQ_m-type with $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$. If $X \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a complete intersection, then $N = n + 1$ and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a hyperquadric.*

For an n-dimensional Fano variety X, if the index $i(X) = n-1$, then we call X a del Pezzo variety. The key point to prove Theorem 1.2 is to show that X is indeed a del Pezzo variety, which follows the idea of Fu in [Fu]. By Proposition 3.1 in this paper, we can conclude that

Remark 1.3 *The bound $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}]+1$ in Theorem 1.2 is optimal. In fact, if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+2}$ is an n-dimensional smooth complete intersection of two hyperquadrics, then X is a variety of SQ_m-type with $m = [\frac{n}{2}]$.*

If the ideal of X in the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^N$ is generated by elements of degree two, then we call X a quadratic variety. By Theorem 4.8(3) in [IR], Hartshorne's conjecture on complete intersections holds for quadratic varieties. As a corollary, we obtain the classification of quadratic varieties of SQF_m-type with $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.4 *Let X be a variety of SQF_m-type with $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$. If X is a quadratic variety, then X is a hyperquadric unless it is projectively isomorphic to one of four cases in Theorem* 1.1(ii).

Acknowledgements

It's my great pleasure to thank professor Baohua Fu for introducing this problem and giving me many useful suggestions. I also want to thank professor Francesco Russo for his encouragement.

2 Hypersurface Cases

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a closed subscheme. Let $Reg(X)$ and $Sing(X)$ denote the regular locus and the singular locus of X with reduced induced subscheme structures, respectively. A projective vatiety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is called a projective hypersurface if X is a hypersurface in $\langle X \rangle$, where $\langle X \rangle$ is the linear span of X in $\mathbb{P}^N$. Define the dimension of the empty set to be -1.

Proposition 2.1 ([Za], Cor. I.2.20) *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an n-dimensional projective variety which is not a linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^N$, and $b = dim(Sing(X))$. Then*

(i) X does not contain any linear subspace Y in $\mathbb{P}^N$ with $dim(Y) > [\frac{N+b}{2}]$;

(ii) If X contains a projective hypersurface Y with $dim(Y) > [\frac{N+b}{2}]$, then X is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^N$.

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety. For a smooth point $x \in X$, let T_xX denote the embedding affine tangent space of X at x. Let $\mathbb{T}_xX$ denote the closure of T_xX in $\mathbb{P}^N$.

Let $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be two closed subschemes, and $I(X)$ and $I(Y)$ be the ideal sheaves of X and Y, respectively. Let $X \cap_{sch} Y$ denote the intersection in the scheme theory, i.e. $X \cap_{sch} Y$ is the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^N$ defined by the ideal sheaf $I(X) + I(Y)$. Let $X \cap Y$ denote the corresponding reduced scheme $red(X \cap_{sch} Y)$.

Proposition 2.2 ([Za], Proof of Thm. I.1.7) *Let $X \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an n-dimensional irreducible closed subscheme, and $Z \subseteq X$ be an m-dimensional closed subscheme. If there exists a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ such that $Z \backslash Sing(X) \subseteq Sing(H \cap_{sch} X)$ as sets, i.e. $Z \cap Reg(X) \subseteq \{x \in X | \mathbb{T}_xX \subseteq H\}$ as sets, then $m \leq N - n + b$, where $b = dim(Z \cap Sing(X))$. In particular, if $2n - 1 - b > N$, then $Reg(H \cap_{sch} X) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proposition 2.3 *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth nondegenerate hypersurface. Assume that X contains an $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ dimensional projective hypersurface Y, then $deg(X) = deg(Y)$.*

Proof: Assume $n \geq m + 1$. Let $r = n - m$, and $L = \langle Y \rangle$ be the linear span of Y. By Proposition 2.1, $L \nsubseteq X$. Choose r hyperplanes $H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_r$ such that $L = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} H_i$. Let $L_0 = \mathbb{P}^N, X_0 = X, L_i = \bigcap_{j=1}^{i} H_j, X_i = X_{i-1} \bigcap_{sch} L_i, i = 1, \cdots, r$. Let $Z_i = Sing(X_i), b_i = dim(Z_i), i = 0, 1, \cdots, r$. Then $L = L_r$.

Clearly, $L_i \nsubseteq X_{i-1}$. We know that X_i is equidimensional for each $i = 0, 1, \cdots, r$, since Y is a hypersurface and $L = L_r \nsubseteq X$. For each component of X_i, we can apply Proposition 2.2. Clearly, $b_0 = -1$. By Proposition 2.2, $b_{i+1} \leq dim(L_i) - dim(X_i) + b_i = 1 + b_i, i = 0, 1, \cdots, r-1$. Hence, $b_r \leq r - 1$.

Let $X' = red(X_r) = X \bigcap L$. Assume $X' = \bigcup_{j=0}^{s} W_j$, where W_j are irreducible components of X'. The equidimensionalness of X' implies that $dim(W_j) = m = dim(Y), j = 0, 1, \cdots, s$. However, $b_r \leq r-1 \leq m-2$, since $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}]+1$. Hence, $X' \subseteq L = \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ is irreducible, and $Reg(X_r) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $X' = Y$, i.e. $X \bigcap L = Y$. Let a be the multiplicity of the intersection of L and X along Y. If $a \geq 2$, then each point of the scheme $X \bigcap_{sch} L$ is not reduced. Hence, $Reg(X \bigcap_{sch} L) = \emptyset$. It is a contradiction. As a result, $a = 1$, and $deg(X) = deg(Y)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.4 *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety which is not a linear subspace. If X contains an $m > [\frac{N-1}{2}]$ dimensional projective hypersurface Y, then $N = n+1$, and $deg(X) = deg(Y)$.*

3 Complete Intersections of Two Hyperquadrics

The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 3.1 *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+2}$ be a smooth complete intersection of two hyperquadrics X_1 and X_2. Then*

(i) X does not contain any $m = [\frac{n}{2}]+1$ dimensional quadric.

(ii) X is a variety of SQ_m-type with $m = \frac{n}{2}$ when n is even.

(iii) Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$. Let $m = [\frac{n-1}{2}]$, then X is a variety of SQF_m-type.

Lemma 3.2 *Assume that $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is an n-dimensional complete intersection of hypersurfaces $X_1, \cdots, X_c$, where $c = codim_{\mathbb{P}^N}(X)$. Let $i_1, \cdots, i_\nu \in \{1, \cdots, c\}$ be any ν distinct numbers and Z be the complete intersection of $X_{i_1}, \cdots, X_{i_\nu}$, then $Reg(X) \subseteq Reg(Z)$, i.e. $X \cap Sing(Z) \subseteq Sing(X)$.*

Proof: Let $S = k[t_0, \cdots, t_N]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^N$ and $I(X_i) = (f_i)$, then $I(X) = (f_1, \cdots, f_c)$. At any regular closed point $x \in Reg(X)$, the rank of the matrix $(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t_j})_{c \times (N+1)}$ is c, hence the rank of the matrix $(\frac{\partial f_{i_l}}{\partial t_j})_{\nu \times (N+1)}$ is ν. As a result, $x \in Reg(Z)$. $\square$

Proposition 3.3 ([Ht2], Rmk. III.10.9.2 Bertini's Theorem) *If X is a smooth projective variety over k. Let σ be a linear system with base locus Σ, then a general member of σ has singularities only at Σ.*

Lemma 3.4 *Assume $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is an n-dimensional complete intersection of hypersurfaces $X_1, \cdots, X_c$, where $c = codim_{\mathbb{P}^N}(X)$ and $deg(X_1) = \cdots = deg(X_c) = d$. Let $Z_1, \cdots, Z_t$ be general $t \leq c$ hypersurfaces of degree d. Assume that all $Z_1, \cdots, Z_t$ contain X. Let Z denote the complete intersection of $Z_1, \cdots, Z_t$. Then $Sing(Z) \subseteq Sing(X)$.*

Proof: Let σ be the linear system defined on $\mathbb{P}^N$ which is composed of hypersufaces which are of degree d and contain X. Then the base locus of σ is just X.

If $t = 1$, Z_1 is general, then $Sing(Z_1) \subseteq X$ by Proposition 3.3. We can choose other $c-1$ hypersurfaces $Z_{1,2}, \cdots, Z_{1,c}$ of degree d containing X such that X is the complete intersection of $Z_1, Z_{1,2}, \cdots, Z_{1,c}$. Then Lemma 3.2 implies $Sing(Z_1) \subseteq Sing(X)$.

Assume the conclusion holds for $t-1 \geq 1$. Let W be the complete intersection of $Z_1, \cdots, Z_{t-1}$. Then $Sing(W) \subseteq Sing(X)$ by induction. The restriction on W of σ gives a linear system σ' with base locus X too. Since Z_t is general, $Sing(Z_t \cap_{sch} W) \subseteq X$ by Proposition 3.3, i.e. $Sing(Z) \subseteq X$. Thus, $Sing(Z) \subseteq Sing(X)$ by Lemma 3.2. $\Box$

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety, and $x \in X$ be a fixed point. Let $\mathfrak{L}_{x,m}(X) = \{L \in \mathbb{G}(m,N) | x \in L \subseteq X\}$, where $\mathbb{G}(m,N)$ is the Grassmannian variety parameterizing m-dimensional linear subspaces in $\mathbb{P}^N$

Lemma 3.5 *If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a hyperquadric with $dim(X) = n \geq 2$, and m is a positive integer no more than $[\frac{n}{2}]$, then for any point $x \in X$, we have* $\mathbb{T}_x X \cap X = \bigcup\limits_{L \in \mathfrak{L}_{x,m}(X)} L$.

Proof: Induction on m. The case $m = 1$ is trivial.

Assume $m > 1$, then $n \geq 4$. If $x \in Reg(X)$, then $\mathbb{T}_x X \cap X$ is a cone over an $n = dim(X) - 2 \geq 2$ dimensional quadric X' with the vertex x. By induction, for any point $x' \in X'$, $\mathbb{T}_{x'} X' \cap X' = \bigcup\limits_{L' \in \mathfrak{L}_{x',m-1}(X')} L'$. In particular, $\mathfrak{L}_{x',m-1}(X') \neq \emptyset$. Take $L' \in \mathfrak{L}_{x',m-1}(X')$. Let $L = \langle x, L' \rangle$ be the linear subspace spanned by x and L', then $x' \in L \in \mathfrak{L}_{x,m}(X)$. As a result, $\mathbb{T}_x X \cap X = \bigcup\limits_{L \in \mathfrak{L}_{x,m}(X)} L$.

If $x \in Sing(X)$, then X is a cone with x being a vertex and $\mathbb{T}_x X \cap X = X$. Take a general hyperplane section $\widetilde{X}$ with $x \notin \widetilde{X}$. We can deduce that $\mathbb{T}_x X \cap X = \bigcup\limits_{L \in \mathfrak{L}_{x,m}(X)} L$ by taking the same argument as above where X' is replaced by $\widetilde{X}$. $\Box$

Proposition 3.6 ([Sa], Main Thm.) *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an $n \geq 2$ dimensional smooth projective variety. Assume that m is an integer no less than $\frac{n}{2}$. If for each point $x \in X$, there is an m-dimensional linear subspace L_x satisfying $x \in L \subseteq X$, then X is one of the following three cases:*

(i) an $\mathbb{P}^{n-a}$-bundle over an a-dimensional smooth projective variety S where a general linear subspace L_x is in the fiber of the canonical projection and $\frac{n}{2} \leq a \leq n$;

(ii) an even-dimensional smooth hyperquadric;

(iii) the Grassmiannian variety $\mathbb{G}(1, m+1)$ parameterizing lines in $\mathbb{P}^{m+1}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1: Let S be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^{n+2}$ and $I(X_1) = (f_1), I(X_2) = (f_2)$. By Lemma 3.4, we can assume that X_1 and X_2 are both smooth.

(i) Assume that X contains an $m = [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ dimensional quadric Q. Let $L = \langle Q \rangle$ be the linear span of Q in $\mathbb{P}^{n+2}$. We know $L \nsubseteq X_1$, $L \nsubseteq X_2$ and $L \nsubseteq X$ by Proposition 2.1. This implies $L \cap_{sch} X_1 = L \cap_{sch} X_2 = Q$. Thus $L \cap X = Q$, and there exists $\lambda \in k^*$ such that $\pi(f_1) = \lambda\pi(f_2)$, where π is the natural morphism $S \to S/I(L)$. Let $f_3 = f_1 - \lambda f_2, X_3 = V(f_3) \cong Proj(S/(f_3))$, then $\pi(f_3) = 0, L \subseteq X_3$ and $I(X) = (f_1, f_3)$, which implies that X is the complete intersection of X_1 and X_3. However, $L \subseteq X_3$ implies $dim(Sing(X_3)) \geq 1$ by Proposition 2.1. Then by Lemma 3.2, $\emptyset = Sing(X) \supseteq X_1 \cap Sing(X_3) \neq \emptyset$. It is a contradiction.

(ii) Assume n to be even. f_1, f_2 are quadratic forms and they uniquely correspond to symmetric coefficient matrices A_1 and A_2, respectively. The equation $det(\lambda_1 A_1 + \lambda_2 A_2) = 0$ has a solution for some $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Equivalently, there is a singular hyperquadric X_3 containing X. By Lemma 3.2, $dim(Sing(X_3)) = 0$. Thus, X_3 has only one singular point p.

We can assume that X_1 is different from X_3. Take a general hyperplane section X_3' of X_3. Then X_3' is smooth. Take any point $x \in X$, the line $\langle p, x \rangle$ meets X_3'. Let q be an intersecting point. Through the point $q \in X_3'$ there is an $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional subspace L' satisfying that $q \in L' \subseteq X_3'$ by Lemma 3.5. Let $L = \langle p, L' \rangle$ be the linear subspace spanned by p and L' in $\mathbb{P}^{n+2}$. Then $L \subseteq X_3$ and $x \in L \cap_{sch} X_1$. Moreover, $L \subseteq X_1$, or $L \cap_{sch} X_1$ is an $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional quadric.

We claim that if $x \in X$ is general, then $L \nsubseteq X_1$ and $L \cap_{sch} X_1$ is reduced and irreducible. Otherwise, for a general point $x \in X$, there is an $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional linear subspace L_x satisfying that $x \in L_x \subseteq X$. On the other hand, $Chow_{\frac{n}{2},1}(X)$ is a projective scheme, where $Chow_{\frac{n}{2},1}(X)$ is the Chow variety parameterizing cycles of dimension $\frac{n}{2}$ and degree 1 on X. Hence, for an arbitrary point $x \in X$, there is an $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional linear subspace L_x satisfying that $x \in L_x \subseteq X$. By Proposition 3.6, X cannot be a smooth complete intersection of two hyperquadrics.

(iii) Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{V(f) | f = \lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2,\ [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$. By Lemma 3.2, for any $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $x_0 \in Reg(Z)$. Let $B = \overline{\bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} (\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z \cap X)}$. If $B \subsetneq X$, then it is a possibly reducible variety of pure dimension $n - 1$. On the other hand, for any $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z \cap X$ is a divisor of X and as a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n+2}$, $deg(\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z \cap X) \leq 4$. Hence, there is a divisor D with $Supp(D) \subseteq B$ such that for general $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z \cap X = D$. However, if $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ are different hyperquadrics, then $X = Z_1 \cap_{sch} Z_2$ and $\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z_1 \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z_2 = \mathbb{T}_{x_0} X$. Thus $(\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z_1 \cap X) \cap (\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z_2 \cap X) = \mathbb{T}_{x_0} X \cap X$ is a subvariety of codimension two of X and $\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z_1 \cap X \neq \mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z_2 \cap X$. It is a contradiction. As a result, $X = B = \overline{\bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} (\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z \cap X)} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} (\mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z \cap Z)}$.

Thus, take a general point $x \in X$, there exist some $Z_1 \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $x \in \mathbb{T}_{x_0} Z_1 \cap Z_1$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists an $[\frac{n+1}{2}]$-dimensional linear subspace L_x in $\mathbb{P}^{n+2}$ such that $x_0, x \in L_x \subseteq Z_1$. Take any $Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ different from Z_1. Then $X = Z_1 \cap_{sch} Z_2$ is a complete intersection. By the generality of $x \in X$, $L_x \nsubseteq Z_2$, and $Q_x = L_x \cap_{sch} Z_2 \subseteq X$ is an $[\frac{n-1}{2}]$-dimensional irreducible and reduced quadric passing through x_0, x. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

If X is a Fano variety, H is an ample divisor such that $-K(X) = i(X)H$, then we call H the fundamental divisor of X.

Proposition 4.1 ([PS], Thm. 3.3.1) *Let (X, H) be an n-dimensional smooth del Pezzo variety of degree d, i.e. for the fundamental divisor H, $d = H^n$. Assume that $n \geq 5$. Then Y is one of the following:*

(i) $d = 1$, and X is a hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, \cdots, 1)$;

(ii) $d = 2$, and X is a hypersurface of degree 4 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(2, 1, \cdots, 1)$;

(iii) $d = 3$, and $X = X_3 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a cubic hypersurface;

(iv) $d = 4$, and $X = X_4 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{n+2}$ is a complete intersection of two hyperquadrics;

(v) $d = 5, n \leq 6$, and $X = X_5 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+3}$ is the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$ or a general hyperplane section of it.

Proposition 4.2 ([PS], Cor. 2.1.14) *Let X be an n-dimensional smooth del Pezzo variety over an algebraically closed field K of any characteristic. If H is a fundamental divisor on X, and $d = H^n$, then $dim_K(H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(H))) = d+n-1$.*

Proposition 4.3 *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an $n \geq 5$ dimensional nondegenerate smooth del Pezzo variety with $Pic(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. If X contains an $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ dimensional quadric Q, then X is projectively isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$ or a general hyperplane section of it.*

Proof: We discuss the five cases of Proposition 4.1 respectively. Let D be any hyperplane section of $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$. Since $m \geq 3$, X contains lines. Hence, D is the fundamental divisor of X. Since X is nondegenerate in $\mathbb{P}^N$, $dim(H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))) \geq N+1$. Hence, by Proposition 4.2, $D^n = d \geq N-n+2 \geq 3$. Thus cases (i) and (ii) are impossible.

Proposition 2.3 implies that case (iii) is impossible.

By Proposition 3.1, case (iv) is impossible.

The possibility of case (v) follows from Example 2.5 of [Ru]. $\square$

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an n-dimensional projective variety, and $x \in Reg(X)$. Let $\mathbb{L}_{x,X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(T_xX) = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be the Hilbert scheme of lines contained in X passing through x.

Proposition 4.4 ([Fu], Proof of Lem. 4) *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a variety of SQ_m-type with $n \geq 2, m \geq 1$. Let $x \in X$ be a general point. If $\mathbb{L}_{x,X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a hypersurface, then $\mathbb{L}_{x,X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a smooth hyperquadric and $N = n+1, X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a smooth hyperquadric.*

Proposition 4.5 ([IR], Thm. 2.4(3); [Ko], Cor. II.3.11.5) *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an n-equidimensional smooth complete intersection of $c = N-n$ hypersurfaces X_i with $deg(X_i) = d_i \geq 2$, where X is a possibly reducible variety. Let $d = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{c}(d_i - 1)$.*

(i) If $n-1 < d$, then for a general point $x \in X$, $\mathbb{L}_{x,X} = \emptyset$.

(ii) If $n-1 \geq d$, then for a general point $x \in X$, $\mathbb{L}_{x,X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is an (n-1-d)-equidimensional smooth complete intersection of d hypersurfaces $Y_{i,j}$ with $deg(Y_{i,j}) = j$, for $i = 1, \cdots, c, j = 2, \cdots, d_i$, and $\mathbb{L}_{x,X}$ is a possibly reducible variety.

Proposition 4.6 ([Za], Prop. I.2.10) *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N_K$ be a nondegenerate smooth complete intersection over an algebraically closed field K of any characteristic, where X is a possibly reducible variety. Let $P = \overline{\{(x,\alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{P}^{N*})|H_\alpha \supseteq \mathbb{T}_xX\}}$, where $\mathbb{P}^{N*}$ is the dual projective space of $\mathbb{P}^N$ and H_α denotes the hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^N$ corresponding to $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{N*}$. Let $\gamma^* : P \to \mathbb{P}^{N*}$ denote the restriction of the second projection of $X \times \mathbb{P}^{N*}$ on P. Then γ^* is a finite morphism.*

Proposition 4.7 ([Za], Proof of Prop. I.2.16) *Let $X \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an n-dimensional nondegenerate smooth projective possibly reducible variety with $N - n \geq 2$. If $Q \subseteq X$ is an r-dimensional closed subvariety with $L = \langle Q \rangle$ the linear span of Q such that $dim(L) = m$ and $codim_L(Q) \leq codim_{\mathbb{P}^N}(X) - 1$, i.e. $m + n - r \leq N - 1$, then there is a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ such that $Q \subseteq H$ and $Z = \{x \in X | \mathbb{T}_xX \subseteq H\} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, for each such H, we have $2r - n \leq dim(Z) \leq N - n - 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ are trivial.

Hence, we assume $n \geq 3$. Suppose that X is the complete intersection of $c = N - n$ hypersurfaces X_i with $deg(X_i) = d_i \geq 2$. Let $d = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{c}(d_i - 1)$. Let D be a hyperplane section of X. Since $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is an $n \geq 3$ dimensional variety which is covered by conics, X is a Fano variety with the Picard group $Pic(X) = \mathbb{Z}[D]$ and $i(X) = n + 1 - d$.

Moreover, $n \geq 3$ implies $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1 \geq 2$. Thus for a general point $x \in X$, $\mathbb{L}_{x,X} \supseteq \mathbb{L}_{x,Q_x} \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 4.5, $d \leq dim(X) - 1$, $\mathbb{L}_{x,X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a nondegenerate smooth complete intersection and $\mathbb{L}_{x,X}$ is a possibly reducible variety.

If $n = 3$, then $d \leq 2$, since $d \leq dim(X) - 1$. If $n = 4$, then $m \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1 \geq 3$. Thus $dim(\mathbb{L}_{x,X}) \geq dim(\mathbb{L}_{x,Q_x}) \geq 1$ for a general point $x \in X$. On the other hand, $dim(\mathbb{L}_{x,X}) = i(X) - 2$. Hence, $d = n + 1 - i(X) \leq 2$. As a result, in both cases $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, we have $d \leq 2$. Thus, $X \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a hypersurface or the complete intersection of two hyperquadrics. By Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 3.1, $X \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a hyperquadric.

In the following, we will assume that $n \geq 5$ and X is not a hyperquadric. We firstly prove that X is an odd-dimensional del Pezzo variety with Picard group $Pic(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

By Proposition 4.4, for a general point $x \in X$, $dim(\mathbb{L}_{x,X}) \leq n - 3$. Clearly, $\mathbb{L}_{x,Q_x}$ is an $m-2 \geq 1$ dimensional quadric. Then there is a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ containing the linear span of $\mathbb{L}_{x,Q_x}$ and $\mathbb{T}_x(\mathbb{L}_{x,X})$. For any such H, H is tangent to $\mathbb{L}_{x,X}$ along some variety Z and $Z = \{y \in \mathbb{L}_{x,X} | \mathbb{T}_y(\mathbb{L}_{x,X}) \subseteq H\} \neq \emptyset$ as a set. By Proposition 4.7, $2(m - 2) - dim(\mathbb{L}_{x,X}) \leq dim(Z) \leq n - 2 - dim(\mathbb{L}_{x,X})$.

On the other hand, let $P = \overline{\{(y, \alpha) \in \mathbb{L}_{x,X} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1*}) | H_\alpha \supseteq \mathbb{T}_y(\mathbb{L}_{x,X})\}}$. Define $\gamma^* : P \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1*}$ as that in Proposition 4.6. By Proposition 4.6, γ^* is a finite morphism . Thus $dim(Z) = 0$. Then n is odd and $dim(\mathbb{L}_{x,X}) = n - 3$, i.e. $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a smooth del Pezzo variety with $dim(X) = n \leq 5$ being odd and $Pic(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

By Proposition 4.3, $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is projectively isomorphic to a smooth hyperplane section of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$. As is well known, $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$ is nondegenerate, and $deg(\mathbb{G}(1, 4)) = 5$ (see, for example, [Hr] p.247). Thus, $deg(X) = 5$. By assumption, $X \subseteq \langle X \rangle$ is a complete intersection, where $\langle X \rangle$ is the linear span of X in $\mathbb{P}^9$. The degree of X implies that it is a hypersurface in $\langle X \rangle$. As a result, $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$ contains a 5-dimensional projective hypersurface X, which is contradicted with Proposition 2.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

References

[BI] M.C.Beltrametti, P.Ionescu, On manifolds swept out by high dimensional quadrics, Math. Z. (2008) 260: 229-234.

[Ei] L.Ein, Varieties with small dual varieties. II, Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), No. 4, 895-907.

[Fu] B.Fu, Inductive characterizations of hyperquadrics, Math. Ann. 340(2008), 185-194.

[Hr] J.Harris, Algebraic Geometry: A First Course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No.133, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[Ht1] R.Hartshorne, Varieties of small codimension in projective space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80(1974), 1017-1032.

[Ht2] R.Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No.52, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[IR] P.Inoescu, F.Russo, Manifolds covered by lines, defective manifolds and a restricted Hartshorne conjecture, accepted for publication in Amer. J. Math.

[Ko] J.Koll*á*r, Rational Curves on Algebraic Varieties, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), Vol.32, Springer, 1996.

[KS] Y.Kachi, E.Sato, Segre's reflexivity and an inductive characterization of hyperquadrics, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 160, No.763, 2002.

[PS] A.N.Parshin, I.R.Shafarevich, Algebraic Geometry V: Fano Varieties, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.

[Ru] F.Russo, Varieties with quadratic entry locus, I, Math. Ann. (2009), 344: 597-617.

[Sa] E.Sato, Projective manifolds swept out by large dimensional linear spaces, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 49 (1997), No. 3, 299-321.

[Wi] J.A.Wi*ś*niewski, On deformation of nef values, Duke Math. J. 64 (1991), No. 2, 325-332.

[Za] F.L.Zak, Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties, Transl. Math. Monogr. vol. 127, Amer. Math. Soc., U.S.A., 1993.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AMSS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
55 ZHONGGUANCUN EAST ROAD, BEIJING, 100190, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: qifengli@amss.ac.cn